UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,357	09/18/2006	Stefan Verseck	009848-0356700	8910
27500 7590 08/07/2008 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP ATTENTION: DOCKETING DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			STRZELECKA, TERESA E	
	P.O BOX 10500 McLean, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/593,357	VERSECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TERESA E. STRZELECKA	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iootion roquilomoni.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/593,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. In the following restriction requirement Groups I and II contain multiple sequences.

Applicant is asked to elect one sequence from SEQ ID NO: 1-12 for examination if Group I is elected and one sequence from SEQ ID NO: 13-24 and one sequence from SEQ ID NO: 25-36 if Group II is elected.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to a special technical feature of a degenerate primer constituent from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1-12.

Group II, claim(s) 2-5, drawn to a special technical feature of a process for preparing protein sequences which are required for constructing the activity of a nitrile hydratase, such that

- a) a metagenome DNA library of a habitat is prepared,b) this library is contacted with in each case at least one forward (f) primer and one reverse (r) primer exhibiting a degenerate nucleic acid sequence as claimed in claim 1,
  - c) a PCR is carried out using these primers,
- d) the full-length sequences of the nucleic acids encoding protein sequences which are required for constructing the activity of a nitrile hydratase are generated from the part sequences which are obtained, and
  - e) these full-length sequences are cloned into a host organism and expressed.

Group III, claim(s) 6 and 9, drawn to a special technical feature of a protein sequence which is required for constructing the activity of a nitrile hydratase and which has less than 100% homology, at the amino acid level, with such known protein sequences, with the nucleic acid sequences encoding it being generated from part sequences which give a positive hybridization signal, under stringent conditions, with the primers exhibiting the nucleic acid sequences of claim 1.

Group IV, claim(s) 7 and 8, drawn to a special technical feature of a nucleic acid sequence which encodes a protein sequence as claimed in claim 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

Group V, claim(s) 10, drawn to a special technical feature of the use of the nucleic acid sequences as claimed in claim 7 for producing improved protein sequences which are required for constructing the activity of a nitrile hydratase.

Group VI, claim(s) 11, drawn to a special technical feature of the use of the nitrile hydratases as claimed in claim 9 for preparing organic acid amides and acids.

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Fallon et al. (WO 97/12964; cited in the IDS) teach a protein sequence which is required for constructing an activity of a nitrile hydratase and which has less than 100% amino acid homology at the amino acid level, with such known protein sequences and a nucleic acid encoding such protein (page 61 and 62). Therefore the instant claims do not represent a contribution over prior art and thus lack a unifying special technical feature.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention

Art Unit: 1637

must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA E. STRZELECKA whose telephone number is (571)272-0789. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,357 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Teresa E Strzelecka Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

/Teresa E Strzelecka/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637 August 1, 2008